- [10] Gallo J, Panacek A, Prucek R, Kriegova E, Hradilova S, Hobza M, et al. Silver nanocoating technology in the prevention of prosthetic joint infection. Materials (Basel). 2016;9. doi:10.3390/ma9050337.
- Brennan SA, Ní Fhoghlú C, Devitt BM, O'Mahony FJ, Brabazon D, Walsh A. Silver nanoparticles and their orthopaedic applications. Bone Joint J. 2015;97-B:982–589. doi:10.1302/9301-620X.97B5.33336.
 Hardes J, Ahrens H, Gebert C, Streitbuerger A, Buerger H, Erren M, et al. Lack
- Hardes J, Ahrens H, Gebert C, Streitbuerger A, Buerger H, Erren M, et al. Lack of toxicological side-effects in silver-coated megaprostheses in humans. Biomaterials.2007;28:2869–2875. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.02.033.
 Hardes J, von Eiff C, Streitbuerger A, Balke M, Budny T, Henrichs MP, et al.
- [13] Hardes J, von Eiff C, Streitbuerger A, Balke M, Budny T, Henrichs MP, et al. Reduction of periprosthetic infection with silver-coated megaprostheses in patients with bone sarcoma. J Surg Oncol. 2010;101:389–395. doi:10.1002/ js0.21498.
- [14] Hardes J, Henrichs M-P, Gosheger G, Guder W, Nottrott M, Andreou D, et al. Tumour endoprosthesis replacement in the proximal tibia after intraarticular knee resection in patients with sarcoma and recurrent giant cell tumour. Int Orthop. 2018;March 22. doi:10.1007/s00264-018-3893-z.
- [15] Glehr M, Leithner A, Friesenbichler J, Goessler W, Avian A, Andreou D, et al. Argyria following the use of silver-coated megaprostheses: no association between the development of local argyria and elevated silver levels. Bone Joint J. 2013;95-B:988–992. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.95B7.31124.
- Joint J. 2013;95-B:988-992. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.95B7.31124.
 [16] Wafa H, Grimer RJ, Reddy K, Jeys L, Abudu A, Carter SR, et al. Retrospective evaluation of the incidence of early periprosthetic infection with silvertreated endoprostheses in high-risk patients: case-control study. Bone Joint J. 2015;97-B:252-257. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.97B2.34554.

- [17] Zajonz D, Birke U, Ghanem M, Prietzel T, Josten C, Roth A, et al. Silver-coated modular megaendoprostheses in salvage revision arthroplasty after periimplant infection with extensive bone loss - a pilot study of 34 patients. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2017;18:383. doi:10.1186/s12891-017-1742-7.
 [18] Hardes J, Henrichs MP, Hauschild G, Nottrott M, Guder W, Streitbuerger A.
- [18] Hardes J, Henrichs MP, Hauschild G, Nottrott M, Guder W, Streitbuerger A. Silver-coated megaprosthesis of the proximal tibia in patients with sarcoma. J Arthroplasty. 2017;32:208–2213. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.02.054.
 [19] Shirai T, Tsuchiya H, Nishida H, Yamamoto N, Watanabe K, Nakase J, et al.
- Shirai T, Tsuchiya H, Nishida H, Yamamoto N, Watanabe K, Nakase J, et al. Antimicrobial megaprostheses supported with iodine. J Biomater Appl. 2014;29:617–623. doi:10.1177/0885328214539365.
 Inoue D, Kabata T, Ohtani K, Kajino Y, Shirai T, Tsuchiya H. Inhibition of
- Inoue D, Kabata T, Ohtani K, Kajino Y, Shirai T, Tsuchiya H. Inhibition of biofilm formation on iodine-supported titanium implants. Int Orthop. 2017;41:1093-009. doi:10.1007/s00264-017-3477-3.
 Tsuchiya H, Shirai T, Nishida H, Murakami H, Kabata T, Yamamoto N, et al.
- [21] Tsuchiya H, Shirai T, Nishida H, Murakami H, Kabata T, Yamamoto N, et al. Innovative antimicrobial coating of titanium implants with iodine. J Orthop Sci. 2012;17:595–604. doi:10.1007/s00776-012-0247-3.
- [22] Shirai T, Tsuchiya H, Terauchi R, Tsuchida S, Mizoshiri N, Igarashi K, et al. The outcomes of reconstruction using frozen autograft combined with iodinecoated implants for malignant bone tumors: compared with non-coated implants. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 2016;46:735-740. doi:10.1093/jjco/hywo65.

.

Authors: Michelle Ghert, Roberto Velez, Johnathan R. Lex, Andrea Sallent, Philip Linke

QUESTION 2: Is there a role for single-stage exchange arthroplasty for patients with infected oncologic endoprosthesis?

RECOMMENDATION: In principle, despite the lack of sufficient evidence, single-stage exchange arthroplasty can be performed in patients with infected oncologic endoprosthesis if the general requirements to perform a single-stage procedure are fulfilled. However, a single-stage revision without removing the anchorage components is not recommended, since better infection control can be achieved when prostheses were removed rather than salvaged.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: Limited

DELEGATE VOTE: Agree: 100%, Disagree: 0%, Abstain: 0% (Unanimous, Strongest Consensus)

RATIONALE

Periprosthetic joint infections (PJIs) are serious complications of reconstruction of defects created by tumor resection. The reconstruction in tumor surgery usually involves the use of modular endoprostheses. Infection following tumor surgery and reconstruction is relatively common, occurring in 8 to 35% of primary implants [1–3]. As limb salvage surgery has gained popularity over the recent years, the number of reconstruction procedures after tumor resection, and the ensuing infections, have increased [1–3].

Despite the high incidence of PJI following oncologic reconstruction, and perhaps because of the relatively low volume of tumor reconstruction cases, there is a universal lack of high-quality studies related to PJI following oncologic reconstructions. The review of current available literature reveals only 12 relevant articles on infections following oncologic reconstructions using tumor endoprostheses. Only six published articles reported the outcomes of singlestage exchange arthroplasty [2,4–8]. However, it must be noted that some of the authors perform a single-stage revision with removal of all exchangeable and polyethylene components with debridement of surrounding soft tissues but without removal of the fixation anchoring components [2,4–8].

As presented by Buchholz et al. in the 1970s, the concept of classic single-stage exchange arthroplasty after infected total joint replacement is the radical debridement and removal of all foreign materials [9]. Morii et al. found that infection control rates were significantly higher when prostheses were removed rather than salvaged in a series of 57 patients with PJI of tumor endoprostheses [4]. According to Hardes et al., an optimal soft tissue condition is imperative for a successful limb salvage procedure [7].

Currently, there is no concrete evidence in the literature to answer the question, "What role, if any, does one-stage exchange arthroplasty play in the management of PJI after oncologic reconstruction using modular endoprostheses?" However, borrowing from the hip and knee adult reconstruction literature, one can state that the rate of infection control is usually better when all prosthetic and foreign material are removed and new implants used either at the same time (one-stage exchange) or at a later date. It is also an agreed principle that the rate of infection control correlates with the extent of debridement and bioburden reduction. Applying these principles, we can state that one-stage exchange arthroplasty does have a role in the management of acute or chronic PJI following oncologic reconstruction. The question that remains and is somewhat unique to oncologic reconstruction is whether all foreign material needs to be removed during one-stage exchange or some parts, such as the anchoring portion of the prosthesis in the bone, can be retained. The tendency would be to advocate that all foreign material should be removed during one-stage exchange. However, removal of the anchoring part of the prosthesis may not be possible or removal of this part may preclude a later reconstruction. Under these circumstances, sub-radical resection arthroplasty may be performed. It is critical, however, that the retained prosthesis is cleaned physi-



FIGURE 1. PRISMA Flowchart showing the identification of relevant studies during the review process.

cally and chemically with agents such as chlorhexidine or povodine iodine scrubs and washed thoroughly. Obeying the general principle of infection surgery is likely to allow some patients with infected oncologic prostheses to be treated by one-stage exchange arthroplasty. Future research is needed to determine which group of patients would most benefit from one-stage exchange arthroplasty versus two-stage exchange arthroplasty.

REFERENCES

- Rossi B, Zoccali C, Toma L, Ferraresi V, Biagini R. Surgical site infections in 1 treatment of musculoskeletal tumors: experience from a single oncologic orthopedic institution. ResearchGate 2016. https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/304744960_Surgical_Site_Infections_in_Treatment_of_ Musculoskeletal_Tumors_Experience_from_a_Single_Oncologic_Ortho-
- pedic_Institution (accessed July 18, 2018). Funovics PT, Hipfl C, Hofstaetter JG, Puchner S, Kotz RI, Dominkus M. [2] Management of septic complications following modular endoprosthetic reconstruction of the proximal femur. Int Orthop. 2011;35:1437-1444.

doi:10.1007/s00264-010-1054-0.

- Morii T, Yabe H, Morioka H, Beppu Y, Chuman H, Kawai A, et al. Postopera-[3] tive deep infection in tumor endoprosthesis reconstruction around the
- knee. J Orthop Sci. 2010;15:331-339. doi:10.1007/S00776-010-1467-z. Morii T, Morioka H, Ueda T, Araki N, Hashimoto N, Kawai A, et al. Deep infec-tion in tumor endoprosthesis around the knee: a multi-institutional study [4] by the Japanese Musculoskeletal Oncology Group. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2013;14:51. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-14-51.
- Jeys LM, Grimer RJ, Carter SR, Tillman RM. Periprosthetic infection in [5] patients treated for an orthopaedic oncological condition. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2005;87:842–849. doi:10.2106/JBJS.C.01222. Holzer G, Windhager R, Kotz R. One-stage revision surgery for infected
- [6]
- megaprostheses. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1997;79:31–35. Hardes J, Gebert C, Schwappach A, Ahrens H, Streitburger A, Winkelmann W, et al. Characteristics and outcome of infections associated with tumor [7] endoprostheses. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2006;126:289-296. doi:10.1007/ soo402-005-0009-1.
- Peel T, May D, Buising K, Thursky K, Slavin M, Choong P. Infective compli-[8] cations following tumour endoprosthesis surgery for bone and soft tissue tumours. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2014;40:1087–1094. doi:10.1016/j.ejso.2014.02.241. Buchholz HW, Elson RA, Engelbrecht E, Lodenkämper H, Röttger J, Siegel A.
- [9] Management of deep infection of total hip replacement. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1981;63-B:342-353.

