Treatment

3.1. TREATMENT: GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Author: Claus Simpfendorfer

QUESTION 1: Can a non-surgical approach be used to treat postoperative spine infections? If so, what factors predict a successful outcome?

RECOMMENDATION: Postoperative spine infections should be treated with irrigation and debridement (with or without implant removal) followed by appropriate antibiotic therapy. Antibiotic suppression without surgical intervention should be attempted in cases where the patient is not a surgical candidate, or in attempt to achieve spinal fusion prior to implant removal.

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: Strong

DELEGATE VOTE: Agree: 80%, Disagree: 7%, Abstain: 13% (Super Majority, Strong Consensus)

RATIONALE

Postoperative surgical site infections are a major complication that occur between 1 and 12% of all spinal surgeries [1–3]. Treatment varies based on general location in relation to superficial, or deep to the muscular fascia, and the time from initial surgery, with early infections occurring before 90 days and late infections occurring after 90

In the case of superficial wound infections, local debridement, healing by secondary intention and a short course of antibiotics is usually sufficient [4]. Deep surgical site infections, on the other hand, require aggressive irrigation and debridement with or without implant removal. The retention of hardware predominantly depends on if the infection is early or late. Several studies have demonstrated that hardware can be retained successfully following aggressive irrigation and debridement in the setting of early infection, except in cases where the implants are loose or there is bony involvement [5-9]. Optimal treatment of delayed infections is aggressive irrigation and debridement with implant removal [10–12]. In the cases where spinal fusion has been achieved, implant removal is routinely performed. However, in cases of fusion failure or pseudoarthrosis, surgical options include aggressive debridement and irrigation with attempted implant retention, implant removal with primary or delayed reimplantation or implant removal without reimplantation [6,13-16].

Antibiotic suppression without surgical intervention is attempted in cases where the patient is not a surgical candidate, or in an attempt to achieve spinal fusion prior to implant removal.

REFERENCES

Pull ter Gunne AF, Hosman AJ, Cohen DB, et al. A methodological systematic review on surgical site infections following spinal surgery: part 1: risk factors. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2012;37(24):2017–2033.

- Schuster JM, Rechtine G, Norvell DC, Dettori JR. The influence of periopera-
- tive risk factors and therapeutic interventions on infection rates after spine surgery: a systematic review. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2010;35(9 Suppl):S125–137. Smith JS, Shaffrey CI, Sansur CA, et al. Rates of infection after spine surgery based on 108,419 procedures: a report from the Scoliosis Research Society Morbidity and Mortality Committee. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2011;36(7):556–
- 563. Pull ter Gunne AF, Mohamed AS, Skolasky RL, van Laarhoven CJ, Cohen DB.
- The presentation, incidence, etiology, and treatment of surgical site infections after spinal surgery. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2010;35(13):1323-1328. Wang TY, Back AG, Hompe E, Wall K, Gottfried ON. Impact of surgical site infection and surgical debridement on lumbar arthrodesis: a single-institution and surgical debridement on lumbar arthrodesis: tion analysis of incidence and risk factors. J Clin Neurosci. 2017;39:164-169. Mok JM, Guillaume TJ, Talu U, et al. Clinical outcome of deep wound infec-
- tion after instrumented posterior spinal fusion: a matched cohort analysis.
- Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2009;34(6):578-583. Ho C, Skaggs DL, Weiss JM, Tolo VT. Management of infection after instrumented posterior spine fusion in pediatric scoliosis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976).
- Carreon IV Clinical outcomes after
- Petilon JM, Glassman SD, Dimar JR, Carreon LY. Clinical outcomes after lumbar fusion complicated by deep wound infection: a case-control study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2012;37(16):1370-1374. Richards BR, Emara KM. Delayed infections after posterior TSRH spinal
- instrumentation for idiopathic scoliosis: revisited. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2001;26(18):1990-1996
- Hahn F, Zbinden R, Min K. Late implant infections caused by Propionibacterium acnes in scoliosis surgery. Eur Spine J. 2005;14(8):783.–788. Hedequist D, Haugen A, Hresko T, Emans J. Failure of attempted implant
- retention in spinal deformity delayed surgical site infections. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2009;34(1):60-64. Muschik M, Luck W, Schlenzka D. Implant removal for late-developing
- infection after instrumented posterior spinal fusion for scoliosis: reinstrumentation reduces loss of correction. A retrospective analysis of 45 cases. Eur Spine J. 2004;13(7):645–651. Tsiodras S, Falagas ME. Clinical assessment and medical treatment of spine
- infections. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2006;444:38-50.
- Bose B. Delayed infection after instrumented spine surgery: case reports and review of the literature. Spine J. 2003;3(5):394–399. Kim JI, Suh KT, Kim SJ, Lee JS. Implant removal for the management of infec-
- [16] tion after instrumented spinal fusion. J Spinal Disord Tech. 2010;23(4):258-